	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/773,218	TSURUMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung X. Nguyen	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dung X. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eckhard H. Kuesters</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 April 2006	Time: <u>1;00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 8, 9, 12, and 13 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The attorney approves the proposed Examiner's Amendmend to place the instant application in codition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·	•	
(hus w)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)